

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/930,696	PATTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Andrew W. Johns	2621	#6

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew W. Johns, examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Frank Pincelli, applicant's representative. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST<sup>†</sup> OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**ANDREW W. JOHNS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner contacted applicant's repr. to present restriction requirement. Examiner indicated that the claims were restrictable to three groups: I - claims 1-11 and 18 directed towards printing and verifying authentic documents; II - claims 12-17 directed towards a kit for making authenticable documents; and III - claims 19-24 directed towards making a media to be used in making an authenticable document. Applicant's repr. indicated that group I, claims 1-11 and 18 would be provisionally elected for examination, without traverse.